

REMARKS

The Reference to Related Applications has been updated. The instant application is a division of U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 08/827,263, filed March 28, 1997, now U.S. Patent No. 6,747,692.

U.S. Patent No. 6,466,783 to Dahm should be withdrawn as a reference under 35 U.S.C. §102. Dahm's filing date is June 8, 1998, whereas applicant's effective filing date is March 28, 1997, more than one year earlier.

Applicant notes that Dahm is a continuation-in-part of U.S. Patent No. 5,809,415 whose filing date is December 11, 1995. However, Dahm is not entitled to the earlier filing date of the c-i-p application. The Examiner referred the applicant to Figs. 1-6B of Dahm as disclosing the invention substantially as claimed. However, as the Examiner will note upon reviewing U.S. Patent No. 5,809,415, there are no drawings corresponding to these Figs. 1-6B.

Nevertheless, applicant would like to point out for the record how Dahm is in keeping with the prior art, which the applicant seeks to overcome. Dahm discloses a mobile telephone 106 (see Fig. 1) which has no internal server. In order to access the internet, an external server 114 is used to create a website. If the mobile telephone 106 wishes to access the website, the telephone must communicate with the external server 114. This is corroborated by Fig. 2A which shows an external proxy server 200, and by Fig. 2B which shows a mobile device 250. The server is never mounted inside the mobile device 250.

As a result of mounting the server inside a mobile terminal, the applicant achieves a result not taught or suggested by the prior art. Now, a user-accessible site having a network address is established "at the terminal". Now, any user of the network can access the network address of the terminal and view data stored at the terminal. This data contains information relating

to the environment in which the terminal is moved. For example, the data can be an image file, a sound file, a hazard file, or a symbol file, as described in the specification.

Neither Dahm, nor U.S. Patent No. 5,905,248 to Russell, discloses or suggests these features, as now more explicitly claimed. Allowance of all claims is respectfully requested.

On formalities, the Examiner mentioned that “the terminal” at line 3 of claim 55 lacks clear antecedent basis. Yet, basis for “the terminal” can be found at line 1 of claim 55.

The Examiner also questioned how a server can be in a terminal, and what kind of terminal it is. It is respectfully submitted that these questions are answered from the description, for example, at pages 9-10 of the specification, in combination with Figs. 1-3.

For example, the terminal 1 shown in Figs. 1-3 is described at page 9, line 6-8 of the specification, as a laptop computer, a personal computer, or a mobile unit. The server 2 is, as is well known, a piece of hardware comprising at least one microprocessor, memory, buses and necessary interfaces for performing designated functions, such as creating a website with a network address. The server 2 is mounted inside the terminal 1, as best depicted in Fig. 3.

Wherefore, a favorable action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

KIRSCHSTEIN, OTTINGER, ISRAEL & SCHIFFMILLER, P.C.

Attorneys for Applicant(s)

489 Fifth Avenue

New York, New York 10017-6105

Tel: (212) 697-3750

Fax: (212) 949-1690

Alan Israel
Reg. No. 27,564